IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

LONE STAR STATE DEVELOPMENT 1,	§	
L.L.C.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
•	§	
and	8	
	8	
FINLAY DEVELOPMENT, LLC,	\$\text{\$\pi\$} \times \t	
	§	
Intervening Plaintiff,	\$\phi\$ \$\phi\$ \$\phi\$ \$\phi\$ \$\phi\$ \$\phi\$ \$\phi\$ \$\phi\$ \$\phi\$	
	§	
v.	§	No. 2-09CV-221-J
	§	
MULTI-HOUSING TAX CREDIT	§	
PARTNERS LII, L.P. and MULTI-	§	
HOUSING INVESTMENTS, L.L.C.,	§	
	§ §	
Defendants, Counter-Claimants and	§	
Third-Party Plaintiff (in the case of	§	
MHI)	\$ \$ \$ \$	
,	8	
V.	8	
•	8	
CHRISTOPHER FINLAY and	§	
FINLAY HOLDINGS, INC.,	8	
	8	
Third-Party Defendants.	§ § §	

THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12 (b)

CONCURRENCE SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

NOW COME Third-Party Defendants, Christopher Finlay and Finlay Holdings, Inc., and for their motion to dismiss third-party complaint, state:

1. On December 17, 2009, Third-Party Plaintiff Multi-Housing Investments, LLC ("MHI") filed its third-party complaint against Third-Party Defendants Christopher Finlay ("C. Finlay") and Finlay Holdings, Inc. ("Finlay Holdings").

2. C. Finlay and Finlay Holdings bring this instant motion to dismiss MHI's third-party

complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1), (2), (3), (6) and (7).

3. For the reasons stated in the accompanying brief, MHI's third-party complaint should be

dismissed.

4. On January 6, 2010, counsel for C. Finlay and Finlay Holdings, after explaining the basis

for the instant motion, sought concurrence from counsel for MHI in the instant motion, but

concurrence was not obtained.

Wherefore, Third-Party Defendants, Christopher Finlay and Finlay Holdings, Inc.,

respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter an Order providing the following relief:

A. Granting Third-Party Defendants' Motion To Dismiss Third-Party Complaint;

B. Dismissing Third-Party Plaintiff Multi-Housing Investments, LLC's third-party

complaint against Third-Party Defendants Christopher Finlay and Finlay Holdings, Inc., with

prejudice;

C. Awarding Third-Party Defendants Christopher Finlay and Finlay Holdings, Inc.

their costs and attorney fees incurred from responding to the Third-Party Complaint; and

D. Awarding any other relief this Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

TISHKOFF & ASSOCIATES PLLC.

By: William G Tishkoff (P45165)

407 North Main Street

Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Tel: (734) 663-4077

Fax: (734) 665-1613

/s/ Jennifer L. Brant

Attorneys for Christopher Finlay and

Finlay Holdings, Inc.

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on January 19, 2010, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing, was served on all counsel of record via the Court's ECF systems, including:

Marvin W. Jones, Esq. Sprouse Shrader Smith 701 S. Taylor, Suite 500 Amarillo, TX 79101

TISHKOFF & ASSOCIATES PLLC.

By: William G Tishkoff (P45165) 407 North Main Street Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Tel: (734) 663-4077

Fax: (734) 665-1613

/s/ Jennifer L. Brant

Attorneys for Christopher Finlay and Finlay Holdings, Inc.